

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/963,631 09/26/2001 Willem Jan Douwe Van Beek PHNL 000544 9731 24737 7590 04/13/2006 **EXAMINER** PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS AU, GARY P.O. BOX 3001 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 2617

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 09/963,631 | VAN BEEK, WILLEM JAN DOUWE | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Gary Au | 2617 | | |

| | Gary Au | 2617 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover shee | t with the correspondence add | dress |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDI | TION FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing ving replies: (1) an ame tice of Appeal (with app e with 37 CFR 1.114. T | a Notice of Appeal. To avoid ab ndment, affidavit, or other evide eal fee) in compliance with 37 C he reply must be filed within on | nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 | dvisory Action, or (2) the o tter than SIX MONTHS fro b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)6.07(f). | late set forth in the final rejection, w m the mailing date of the final rejec) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS | tion. FILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the correspon- hortened statutory period than three months after the | ding amount of the fee. The approp for reply originally set in the final Of | riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 4 | 11.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t | ths of the date of he appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | out prior to the date of t | iling a brief will not be entered | necause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or sear | | Jecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number | of finally rejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | 21. See attached Notice | e of Non-Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☐ will not be entered, vided below or appende | or b) 🛛 will be entered and an ed. | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date d sufficient reasons wh | of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> y the affidavit or other evidence | ot be entered is necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome all rejections | under appeal and/or appellant f | ails to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the a | application in condition for allow | ance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14 | 49) Paper No(s) | |
| | | 0 | |
| | | Gary Au Examiner Art Unit 2617 | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Roeck discloses that the frequency plan scans starting at every 6th MHz, beginning with 88 MHz, and the cable modem checks all frequency channels from a list (col. 4 lines 52-66), thus stepwise scanning segments of the frequency band from the predetermined frequency value. Roeck also discloses that the frequency channel typically is 6 MHz wide (col. 4 lines 22-32) and that the frequency plan scans every 6th MHz (col. 4 lines 52-66), thus the frequency steps being substantially equal to the bandwidth of the frequency channels.

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800